hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2945 OF 2006
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 234 OF 2007

Shankar Vishnu Nerkar and Anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.. Respondents

Ms. Shilpa with Mr.M.B. Gawade for Petitioners.

Mr. C.R. Sonawsane, A.G.P. for R. Nos. 1 to 4.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
S.J. VAZIFDAR,JJ.
DATED: FEBRUARY 09, 2007

P.C.:

. The learned counsel prays that the matter be kept back. We have gone through the Petition and do not find this to be a fit case for adjournment.

. The main grievance of the Petitioner is that for the area of 0.25 R, an Award came to be passed without issuig notice under Section 9(3) of the Land Acquisition Act. The award was passed on 31.1.1995. The Petitioner has approached this court in the year 2006. The remedy for the petitioner in the event there was non compliance on the ground that market value has not been properly valued, was to pursue remedy under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act.

. Considering the above, in our opinion, this is not a fit case for this court to exercise our extra ordinary jurisdiction. Petition along with Civil Application disposed of. No order as to costs.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO,J.)**